

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

• P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,649	12/30/2003	Clayton Charles Troxell	18,951	6902
23556 7590 06/19/2007 KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HALPERN, MARK	
NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
-		10/748,649	TROXELL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	. !	Mark Halpern	1731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  17 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 and 22-28 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn fro Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3-17,22-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	m consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction and the correction of the correction of the construction of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment  1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO_413\	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,649

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 6/1/2007. Claims 1, 10, 17, 23 are amended, and new claims 25-28 are offered for consideration.

## Specification

The amendment filed 6/1/2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Claim 1 recites "a chemical additive topically applied to the first and/or second opposing side of the web", and "the first and/or second opposing side with the topically applied chemical additive filaments". Claims 10 and 25 also recite "topically applied". The term topically applied is new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3) Claims 1, 3-17, 22-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites "a chemical additive topically applied to the first and/or second opposing side of the web", and "the first and/or second opposing side with the topically applied chemical additive filaments". Claims 10 and 25 also recite "topically applied". The term topically applied is new matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4) Claims 1, 3-17, 22-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 10, 25 are not clear as to the phrase "topically applied" which is not described in the original specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5) Claims 1, 3-17, 22-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hermans (6,887,348).

The applied reference has two (2) common inventors, Troxell, and Baum, with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claims 1, 3-17, 25: Hermans discloses a single web product made of pulp fibers produced by conventional wet lay paper making process. The product is a tissue. A softening agent chemical additive is applied to either or both sides of the tissue. The chemical additive is polysiloxane (col. 12, lines 40-50). After drying, the tissue is rolled onto reel 24 (col. 11, line 28 to col. 12, line 60). The tissue roll bulk is in the range of about 11.5 cc/g to greater than about 14 cc/g (col. 17, lines 24-34). The tissue fuzz-onedge, obtained by shear calendering, is in the range of greater than about 1.7 mm/mm to greater than 3.5 mm/mm (col. 17, lines 14-24). The product Kershaw firmness is in the range of less than 7.8 mm to less than 7.0 mm (col. 17, lines 3-11). The

product Kawabata bending stiffness is disclosed in tables of Examples 1, 3 (cols.19-20). The chemical additive being extruded and placed on the web does not structurally differentiate the instant product over the cited prior art. Since topical application of the additive is not disclosed in the original invention the application of Hermans reads on the additive being topically applied.

Claims 22, 24: the viscosity of the chemical additive applied to the web does not structurally differentiate the instant product over the product of Hermans since viscosity is a measure of resistance to flow of the additive.

Claims 23, 26-28: the additive application does not structurally differentiate the product from the prior art.

# Response to Amendment

- 6) Formal drawing sheets Figures 1-9 are accepted.
- 7) Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants allege that the cited prior art, Hermans, does not disclose the topical application of a plurality of extruded chemical additive filaments.

The chemical additive being extruded and placed on the web does not structurally differentiate the instant product over the cited prior art. Since topical application of the additive is not disclosed in the original invention the application of Hermans reads on the additive being topically applied. Also the term "topically applied" is new matter.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,649

Art Unit: 1731

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731